

Wednesday, September 04, 2019

#### REFERENCED COMPANIES

FNMA: \$2.84 Rating NR

FMCC: \$2.75 Rating NR

## Time to Reconsider Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Again September Is Slated to Be a Busy Month

#### **Decision Time**

A number of events are expected this month clarifying the status of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

- A decision from the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court, possibly;
- The release of the President's report on these companies (could be tomorrow);
- A position paper from the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA); and
- Congressional testimony by Treasury Secretary Mnuchin, HUD Secretary Ben Carson, and FHFA Director Mark Calabria concerning the status of these companies on September 10.

This activity after the summer hiatus suggests that the stocks could catch bids here. It is a good time to buy them because the return to heightened activity is likely to lead to an increase in investor enthusiasm. Plus, something more than "jawboning" might actually be done.

#### Courts

The current status of the court system is unclear. The facts of the cases as I see them are as follows:

- The government did engineer a take-over of these two companies in 2008 based upon the clear need to address severe problems in the financial system not because they were in imminent danger of running out of cash or failing.
- In 2012, the government did lie to the courts concerning the financial outlook of these two companies as is now clearly shown by discovery documents.
- The government did break a contractual agreement with the two companies.
- The government has expropriated private property in this case on false pretenses without compensating the owners of that property.
- The government has ignored the law as written in the Housing and Economic Recovery Act (HERA) of 2008 to rebuild the supposed financial weakness of these companies.

Up to this point, a simple explanation of the court judgements in favor of the defendant, in the government sponsored enterprise (GSE) cases, has basically stated that the government had the right to take these actions. This view is, in part, based on the circumstance that these companies were/are in a conservatorship allowing the conservator (FHFA) to do whatever it deemed to be appropriate.

It is hoped by many, including myself, that new evidence revealed in discovery documents is causing the courts to rethink its positions:

- The Federal District Court in Washington D.C. has now requested a new trial based on the concept that the holders of investments in the GSE had no expectation that the government would take away their holdings. Judge Lamberth has now requested that the government come up with 70,000 documents.
- The Federal Claims Court, also in Washington D.C., has set a November 17, date to review the case. It is possible that Judge Sweeney is ready to start looking at the substance of the case.
  - The Fifth Circuit Federal Court in Houston has taken the unusual step of requiring a so-called

Odeon Capital Group LLC Member FINRA, NFA, SIPC, MSRB

750 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022

Trading: 212-257-6980 www.odeoncap.com

Dick Bove Senior Research Analyst 212-230-5870 dbove@odeoncap.com

Please review all disclaimers on pages 5&6 of this document.

"en banc" hearing of the plaintiffs' claims because the court was uncomfortable with prior judicial findings. The fact that there has not been a decision here suggests that there may be in-fighting among the justices suggesting that it is not a "slam/dunk" that the plaintiffs will win.

In sum, it appears that the courts are now viewing the plaintiffs' charges more favorably but that does not mean that decisions will change in favor of the plaintiffs. Moreover, decision time still seems some time away even in Houston.

#### **Congress**

It is not expected that Congress will take any action. That, however, is a positive. Over the past 7 years there have been numerous bills presented in both the Senate and House of Representatives as to what to do with the GSEs. These bills have attempted to achieve the following:

- The creation of highly complex systems to regulate the activities in the nation's housing markets; and
- The elimination of government guarantees to the mortgage markets.

What has emerged from the analysis of these legislative thrusts is some core understandings:

- The government must support the 30-year fixed rate mortgage and be paid for doing so.
- The most reasonable solution to the problems in the secondary markets is to rely on Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

In sum, the Congress has rejected any major restructuring of the secondary mortgage markets as being too difficult and basically unnecessary. This is a major step forward for the GSEs because it, in essence, recognizes that they are required. By the way, in all of the comments I have written over the past decade on this subject I come down to these points:

- The current system works; and
- Changing it will create major economic disruption.

It is this belief that drives my conviction that owning equity in these companies makes sense. They simply are too critically important to the mortgage sector, housing, the wealth of American households, and the United States economy to be eliminated.

### **Administration**

After a flurry of statements when Mark Calabria was appointed Director of the FHFA and when Treasury Secretary Mnuchin commented on the report he has prepared for the President, nothing has happened in the Administration this past summer either related to the GSEs. There is an excellent report, however, prepared by a group called Value Investors Club, which captures a number of the public statements made by Administration officials concerning the GSEs. Based on these recorded statements one is able to obtain a sense of what the Administration is likely to propose this month. My guess is the following:

- The 30-year fixed rate mortgage will be guaranteed by the government for a fee.
- Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac will remain at the core of the nation's secondary mortgage markets.
- Opportunities will be made available so that other companies might enter the business with the same powers as the two GSEs
- The Net Worth Sweep whereby the government takes all of the profits of these companies will be eliminated.
- The senior preferred stock that the government owns in the two GSEs will be declared paid in full.
- The \$200 billion Treasury draw facility for each company will remain in place.
- The "patch" arrangement will be grandfathered.
- The junior preferred stock holders will receive some payments
  - o Probably in common stock; and
  - Probably at a slight discount to their par value.

- The capital position of the two companies will be bolstered by
  - The build-up in retained earnings;
  - Possibly the conversion of the junior preferreds to common;
  - o A tiny contribution as the government converts its warrants into common stock; and
  - Possibly a stock offering.

The rules laid out by HERA indicate that the Administration can take most of these steps without the backing of Congress. The guarantees and the authority to issue new GSE-like charters is Congress' purview. However, if history is any guide, the markets will assume the guarantees are still in place even if Congress does nothing. There were no real guarantees in place for the 38 years up to 2008 (the companies grew well above the \$2.5 billion each that was guaranteed) and no one ever assumed that they did not exist.

### **Investment Strategy**

Let's assume the following in order to decide how to invest in these companies:

- The courts effectively do nothing:
  - The Fifth Circuit Court decision is unclear as to what the government must do.
  - o The Federal District and Claims Courts continue to ruminate without decisions.
- Congress refuses to touch the issue having exhausted all of its legislative thrusts.
- The Administration begins putting a plan in place much like what is being suggested here.

What then is the appropriate investment strategy?

- Junior preferreds
  - o Buy them now.
  - o Sell them as the Administration puts its program in place.
- Common stock
  - Avoid it at present.
  - o Buy it 6 to 12 months following any stock offering.

## <u>Preferred</u>

It is my assumption that the preferreds will increase to 75% to 90% of stated par when indications are made public that some payment will be made. Then they may drop back in value if the payments are to be in common stock.

The likelihood is that all preferred shareholders will receive the same amount irrespective of terms or coupons. Therefore, it may make sense to buy the low-coupon, off-the-run preferreds if it is expected that the preferreds will receive payments. The illiquidity risk is higher here but it may be worth the risk.

### Common

This is a more complex transaction. In the table below I assumed that

- The required capital will be equal to 4% of assets. This is based on what the current requirement is at present at Fannie Mae.
- The retained earnings at each company will be 2 times H1 2019 net income.
- The junior preferreds will be converted into common at \$2.50 per share.
- The common stock offering will also be at \$2.50 per share or approximately 12x after my all event earnings estimates.

The numbers are shown in the table on the following page. One can adjust them for any series of reasons but these are the key concepts that must be dealt with. Plus, of course, these numbers assume that once the decision is made to pay the preferred shareholders, all of the court cases disappear.

	Fanni	e Mae	Freddie Mac	
	Capital (mil)	Shares (mil)	Capital (mil)	Shares (mil)
Estimated Requirement				
Required 4% of assets	\$140,000		\$85,000	
Sources				
Current	\$3,000	1,158	\$3,000	726
Retained Eranings Year 1	\$12,000		\$6,000	
Warrant exercise	\$47	4,735	\$25	2,508
Preferred Conversion @\$2.50/Share	\$19,130	7,652	\$14,109	5,644
Stock Offering @\$2.50/Share	<u>\$105,823</u>	<u>42,329</u>	<u>\$61,866</u>	<u>24,746</u>
Amount raised	\$140,000	55,874	\$85,000	33,624
Estimated EPS		\$0.21		\$0.18
Estimated Dividend		\$0.10		\$0.09
Estimated ROEs	8.6%		7.1%	

**Source: Odeon Capital Group** 

### After the Offering

Once the offering is over the analysis of the companies shifts from legal predictions to more traditional =security analysis. The outlook for the newly privatized companies is good if one is looking at dividends but not so good if one is seeking growth.

The dividend is likely to be based on the cash flow from the existing portfolios of these companies. It, in turn, is based on guaranty fees and interest income. One might argue that the portfolios are pristine after 10 years of rigorous and disciplined risk testing.

The future earnings will be challenged by multiple developments. These include:

- Increased prepayment speeds if mortgage rates go lower.
- More competition if the big banks are allowed to create subsidiaries that compete directly with the new GSEs.
- The need to support low income housing.
- A housing market that is deeply troubled due to:
  - The lack of construction loan funds.
  - The lack of profit in originating loans at the primary level.
  - High housing prices.
  - o The restrictive Qualified Mortgage Rules.
  - The need to keep giving a portion of the guarantee fees to the general U.S. Treasury account.
  - Low returns on equity.
  - o Deteriorating PE multiples.

### **ANALYST CERTIFICATION**

I, Dick Bove, hereby certify that the views expressed in this research report accurately reflect my personal views about the subject companies and referenced securities. I also certify that I have not, will not, nor am I presently receiving direct and/or indirect compensation in exchange for any specific recommendation in this report. In addition, said analyst has not received compensation from any subject company in the last 12 months.

#### **RATINGS DISTRIBUTION & DEFINITIONS**

Rating	Equity	%	Definition	
		76.92%	Anticipated total return of 10%+ over the next 12	
Buy	Buy 10		months including dividend payments and/or the ability	
Биу	10		to perform better than the leading stock market	
			averages or stocks within its particular industry sector.	
Hold 2		15.38%	Anticipated trading levels at or near the current price	
	2		and generally in line with the leading market averages	
	2		and/or will perform less well than higher rated	
			companies within its peer group.	
Sell 1			Anticipated depreciation of 10% or more in price	
			within the next 12 months, due to fundamental	
	1	7.69%	weakness perceived in the company or for valuation	
			reasons and/or are expected to perform significantly	
			worse than equities within the peer group.	

Ratings definitions revised as of May 7<sup>th</sup>, 2013.

### **RISKS**

Changes to government policy, changing macroeconomic conditions.

## INVESTMENT BANKING DISCLOSURE

This investment banking disclosure distribution reflects the number and percentage of companies which the Firm currently rates, and has had an investment banking relationship with in the past 12 months.

			Equity-
Rating	Debt	Equity	Linked
Buy	0 (0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0%)
Hold	0 (0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0%)
Sell	0 (0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0%)

#### INVESTMENT BANKING RELATIONSHIPS

The firm has not managed or co-managed a public offering or received investment banking compensation in the past 12 months regarding the subject companies. The firm expects to receive or intends to seek investment banking compensation in the next 3 months from the subject companies. The subject companies have not been clients in the past 12 months preceding the date of distribution of this research report and are not currently clients. The firm has not

received non-investment banking compensation for products or services or other non-securities services from the subject companies or any affiliated companies.

#### FINANCIAL INTERESTS

An equity analyst or a member of its household may not purchase the securities of any subject company 30 days before or 5 days after the issuance of the research analyst's report or a change in ratings or price targets, trade inconsistent with the views expressed by the research analyst, and all transactions in a research analyst's personal trading account must be pre-approved. Neither this research analyst nor any member of his/her household owns any of the securities of the subject companies including any options, rights, warrants, futures or long or short positions. An equity analyst may not trade contrary to his/her own recommendation in a research report. Neither this research analyst nor any member of his/her household owns 1% or more of any of the securities of the subject companies based upon the same standards used to compute beneficial ownership for the purpose of reporting requirements under Section 13(d) of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended. Neither this research analyst or household member is an officer, director, or advisory board member of any subject company. This research analyst has not made a public appearance in front of more than 15 persons to discuss any subject company and does not know or have reason to know at the time of this publication of any other material conflict of interest. The firm has no knowledge of any material conflict of interest involving any company mentioned in this report.

#### RECEIPT OF COMPENSATION

The research analysts at the firm do not receive any compensation based on investment banking revenues and may be paid a bonus based upon the overall profitability of the firm.

#### **TECHNICAL ANALYSIS DISCLOSURE**

This research report contains technical analysis which only takes into account historical price performance and it is not an analysis of fundamental factors or other price/risk indicators. When making an investment decision technical analysis alone should not be the only factor to take into consideration. Any price target or recommendation contained in this report based solely on technical analysis is valid as of the date of this publication only and the analyst's "coverage" of the securities referenced begins and ends the same day. The research analyst welcomes and encourages any comments or questions with regard to this research report.

#### **OTHER ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES**

The firm does not make markets in any securities whatsoever. The firm buys or sells the subject company securities for its own account. The firm buys or sells subject company securities on a principal basis with customers. The firm's employees who are not equity research analysts may buy or sell the subject company securities. Although the statements of fact in this report have been obtained from and are based upon outside sources that the firm believes to be reliable, the firm does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of material contained in this report. Any such estimates or forecasts contained in this report may not be met. Past performance is not an indication of future results. Calculations of price targets are based on a combination of one or more methodologies generally accepted among financial analysts, including but not limited to, analysis of multiples and/or discounted cash flows (whether whole or in part), or any other method which may be applied. Rating, target price and price history information on the subject companies in this report is available upon request. To receive any additional information upon which this report is based this information please contact 212-257-6970, or write to Research Production Department, Odeon Capital Group LLC, 750 Lexington Avenue, 27th fl. New York, New York 10022.

Please review additional legal disclosure and disclaimers on our website at www.odeoncap.com/legal.

Odeon Capital Group LLC is a U.S. registered broker-dealer and member of FINRA, NFA, SIPC and MSRB.